Submitted by: Assembly Vice Chair Constant Prepared by: Assembly Counsel's Office For reading (S): May 24, 2022

ANCHORAGE, ALASKA AO No. 2022-60(S)

AN ORDINANCE OF THE ANCHORAGE ASSEMBLY AMENDING ANCHORAGE 1 MUNICIPAL CODE CHAPTERS 3.10, GENERAL PROVISIONS, AND 27.20, 2 SUPERVISORY BOARDS, AND SECTIONS 2.70.030 AND 29.10.060 TO 3 FULFILL THE REQUIREMENT OF ANCHORAGE MUNICIPAL CHARTER 4 5 SECTION 7.01(b) THAT THE ASSEMBLY BY ORDINANCE MUST ESTABLISH SPECIFIC PROCEDURES FOR REMOVAL OF AN ELECTED OFFICIAL FOR 6 **BREACH OF THE PUBLIC TRUST.** 7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

25

26

27 28

29

30 31

32 33

34

35

36

37 38

39

40

41

WHEREAS, Anchorage Municipal Charter section 7.01(b) provides, in part, "[t]he assembly by ordinance shall establish procedures for removal of elected officials for breach of the public trust, including provision for notice, a complete statement of the charge, a public hearing conducted by an impartial hearing officer, and judicial review"; and

WHEREAS, the requirements of section 7.01(b) have only been partly fulfilled; and

WHEREAS, the Assembly has by ordinance established procedures by which an assembly member or school board member may be removed for a breach of the public trust in Anchorage Municipal Code section 2.70.030, Removal from office, and AMC section 29.10.060, Removal of members from office, which could be updated for efficiency; and

WHEREAS, the Charter requires enactment of similar provisions applicable to other elected officials, including supervisory boards of service areas and the mayor; and 24

WHEREAS, this Ordinance would apply to these elected officials provisions similar to those currently applicable to assembly and school board members; and

WHEREAS, this ordinance will not have significant economic effects: now. therefore,

THE ANCHORAGE ASSEMBLY ORDAINS:

Anchorage Municipal Code section 27.20.070 is hereby amended as Section 1. follows (the remainder of the section is not affected and therefore not set out):

27.20.070 Vacancies generally; unexcused absences.

Α. The office of an elected member of a supervisory board established under this chapter shall become vacant in the same manner as an elected office becomes vacant as provided in section 7.01(a) of the

1		Charter. In	addition, a [A] vacancy shall occur on the failure of a
2		member to:	
3		mornbor to.	
		1	Attend three consecutive regular or aposial meetings
4		1.	Attend three consecutive regular or special meetings
5			without excuse; or
6			
7		2.	Attend a two-thirds majority of the regular and special
8			meetings during any calendar year without excuse.
9		***	*** ***
10		(CAC 2.64.0	60)
11		(0/10 2.04.0	
	Section 2	Anchorado	Municipal Cada chapter 27.20 Supervisory Reards is
12		-	Municipal Code chapter 27.20, Supervisory Boards, is
13	hereby amen	ided to add a	new section 27.20.085 to read as follows:
14			
15	27.20.	085 Remo	oval from office.
16			
17	An ele	ected membe	er of a supervisory board established under this chapter
18			from office for breach of the public trust following the
19			h in this section.
	proced		
20			I an include the state of the second state in the second state of
21	A.		knowing breach of duty or culpable indifference to
22			es may constitute a breach of the public trust. For the
23		purposes of	this section actions constituting a breach of the public trust
24		shall include	e <mark>, but are not limited to</mark> :
25			
26		1. Acce	otance of cash gifts from one doing business with the
27			cipality;
28			tion of chapter 1.15;
29		3. Perju	
30			ication of records;
31		5. Filing	false reports;
32		6. Nepo	tism;
33		7. Makir	ng personal use of municipal or school district property;
34			uction of municipal or school district property;
35			al oppression;
36			I or attempted official misconduct, as defined by state law;
37			ring a municipal employee or contractor employed by the
38			visory board to undertake an unlawful act;
39		12. Subs	tantial breach of a statutory-, Code- or Charter-imposed
40		duty.	
41		-	
42	В.	Proceedings	for removal from office may only [shall] be initiated by
43			n accusation document to the municipal clerk setting forth
			for removal and specifying if delivery is to the assembly
44			
45			of ethics. An accusation document may be submitted to
46		the municipa	al clerk only by a majority vote of the assembly or decision

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43 44 of the municipal board of ethics and must allege specific actions by the member that breach the public trust.

- C. After a successful vote to submit it, the municipal clerk shall cause a copy of the accusation document to be served on the member in the same manner as service of process under Alaska Rules of Civil Procedure, and a copy delivered to the municipal attorney.
- D. The municipal attorney shall review the accusation document for legal sufficiency. The municipal attorney shall determine the legal sufficiency of the allegations within ten days of receipt of the accusation document. If the municipal attorney determines that the allegations are legally insufficient, the removal action shall be discontinued. The municipal attorney's determination, if it rejects the accusation document, may be appealed to the superior court within 30 days. No interlocutory appeal is permitted from a determination by the municipal attorney that the accusation document is legally sufficient. Following a determination by the municipal attorney that the accusation document is legally sufficient, it shall be delivered to the municipal administrative hearings office established by Title 14, and the municipality shall employ an attorney of the member's choice, subject to the limitations of this subsection, to defend the charges. The attorney selected must be engaged in the active practice of law in the state. The fees charged by the attorney must be reasonable in both the rate and the amount of time expended. Reasonableness shall be evaluated in accordance with Alaska Bar Rule 35 and shall be subject to fee arbitration under the Alaska Bar Rules if the municipality disputes the reasonableness of the fees claimed.
 - E. A hearing conducted by the municipal administrative hearing officer shall be held no later than 30 days following appointment of the hearing officer. The hearing shall be open to the public and, unless otherwise provided in this section, shall be conducted in accordance with the procedures set forth in chapter 3.60, however the hearing officer shall expedite the matter within the required times set forth in this section and chapter 3.60 and shall grant extensions only for good cause. Good cause must be based upon matters either beyond the control of the party making application or conditions which would create a significant hardship if a continuance is not granted. Within ten days following the conclusion of the public hearing the hearing officer shall submit written findings and recommendations to the assembly. The recommendations shall include whether the member should be removed.
- F. The standard of proof of the allegations in the accusation document to be applied by the hearing officer is proof by a preponderance of the

evidence. The hearing officer shall evaluate the evidence relating to the accusations set forth in the accusation document and evaluate both whether the allegations are supported and whether those actions alleged constitute a breach of the public trust as set forth in subsection A. of this section. Wrongful acts or admissions occurring while the member was acting in a private capacity as opposed to in a capacity as a public officer shall not constitute a breach of the public trust. [Willful and knowing breach of duty or culpable indifference to official duties may constitute a breach of the public trust.]

- G. Within ten days of receiving the hearing officer's recommendations, the assembly shall vote on whether to remove the member who is the subject of the accusation document. Removal shall occur only on the concurrence of two-thirds of the fully constituted body.
- H. The decision of the assembly acting upon the recommendations of the hearing officer may be appealed to the superior court within 30 days of the assembly's decision. If the assembly's decision is for removal, the office shall be considered vacant beginning at 12:01 a.m. seven days following the decision unless the appellate court issues a stay of the removal pending appeal. In evaluating whether to grant a stay of removal pending appeal, the fact that another individual may be seated as acting member shall not constitute irreparable harm. During a stay, the seat may be temporarily filled pending the outcome of the court case using the procedures in section 27.20.080. If, after exhaustion of appeals, the final ruling reverses the removal, the removed member shall be reseated for the remainder of the term for which the member was elected, and the acting member shall be displaced.

Section 3. Anchorage Municipal Code chapter 3.10, *General Provisions,* (*Reserved*) is hereby amended to rename the chapter and to add a new section 3.10.050 to read as follows:

Chapter 3.10 - GENERAL PROVISIONS [(RESERVED)]

3.10.050 Removal from office.

The mayor may be removed from office for breach of the public trust following the procedures set forth in this section:

A. <u>Willful and knowing breach of duty or culpable indifference to</u> <u>official duties may constitute a breach of the public trust.</u> For the purposes of this section actions constituting a breach of the public trust shall include, <u>but are not limited to</u>:

. 1		
1		1. Acceptance of cash gifts from one doing business with the
2		municipality;
3		2. Violation of chapter 1.15;
4		3. Perjury;
5		4. Falsification of records;
6		5. Filing false reports;
7		6. Nepotism;
8		7. Making personal use of municipal or school district property;
9		8. Destruction of municipal or school district property;
10		9. Official oppression;
11		10. Actual or attempted official misconduct, as defined by state law;
12		11. Ordering, or knowingly allowing a person appointed by the
13		mayor to order, a municipal employee to undertake an unlawful
14		act;
15		12. Substantial breach of a statutory-, Code- or Charter-imposed
16		duty;
17		 Failure to faithfully execute the directives of a duly enacted ordinance.
18 10		orumance.
19 20	В.	Proceedings for removal from office may only [shall] be initiated by
20 21	D.	delivery of an accusation document to the municipal clerk setting forth
21		the grounds for removal and specifying if delivery is to the assembly
22		or the board of ethics. An accusation document may be submitted to
23 24		the municipal clerk only by a majority vote of the assembly or decision
25		of the municipal board of ethics and must allege specific actions by
26		the mayor that breach the public trust.
20		
28	C.	After a successful vote to submit it, the municipal clerk shall cause a
29	0.	copy of the accusation document to be delivered by personal service
30		to the mayor and a copy delivered to the municipal attorney.
31		
32	D.	The municipal attorney, or an impartial third-party attorney retained by
33		the Assembly to serve as special counsel, shall review the accusation
34		document for legal sufficiency. An accusation approved by the
35		assembly shall specify whether the accusation shall be reviewed for
36		legal sufficiency by the municipal attorney or special counsel. The
37		municipal attorney, or the retained special counsel, shall determine
38		the legal sufficiency of the allegations within ten days of receipt of the
39		accusation document. If the municipal attorney, or special counsel,
40		determines that the allegations are legally insufficient, the removal
41		action shall be discontinued. The municipal attorney's or special
42		counsel's determination, if it rejects the accusation document, may be
43		appealed to the superior court within 30 days. No interlocutory appeal
44		is permitted from a determination by the municipal attorney or special
45		counsel that the accusation document is legally sufficient. Following a
46		determination by the municipal attorney or special counsel that the

 accusation document is legally sufficient, the municipality shall employ an attorney of the mayor's choice, subject to the limitations of this subsection, to defend the charges. The attorney selected must be engaged in the active practice of law in the state. The fees charged by the attorney must be reasonable in both the rate and the amount of time expended. Reasonableness shall be evaluated in accordance with Alaska Bar Rule 35 and shall be subject to fee arbitration under the Alaska Bar Rules if the municipality disputes the reasonableness of the fees claimed.

- E. Within two weeks following the service of an accusation document, the municipal clerk shall request that six names be submitted as potential hearing officers by the American Arbitration Association unless otherwise mutually agreed to by the assembly and the mayor. Three of the names submitted should be from the state and three from out-of-state. From these names the assembly and the mayor shall agree upon a hearing officer who shall conduct the hearing concerning the allegations in the accusation document. If no agreement is reached within ten days of distribution of the list of potential hearing officers, the hearing officer shall be selected by each side exercising preemptory challenges to the six potential names in turn until only one remains.
- F. A hearing conducted by the appointed hearing officer shall be held no later than 30 days following appointment of the hearing officer. The hearing shall be open to the public and, unless otherwise provided in this section, shall be conducted in accordance with the procedures set forth in chapter 3.60, however the hearing officer shall expedite the matter within the required times set forth in this section and chapter 3.60 and shall grant extensions only for good cause. Good cause must be based upon matters either beyond the control of the party making application or conditions which would create a significant hardship if a continuance is not granted. Within ten days following the conclusion of the public hearing the hearing officer shall submit written findings and recommendations to the assembly. The recommendations shall include whether the mayor should be removed.
- G. The standard of proof of the allegations in the accusation document to be applied by the hearing officer is proof by a preponderance of the evidence. The hearing officer shall evaluate the evidence relating to the accusations set forth in the accusation document and evaluate both whether the allegations are supported and whether those actions alleged constitute a breach of the public trust as set forth in subsection A. of this section. Wrongful acts or admissions occurring while the mayor was acting in a private capacity as opposed to in a capacity as

1 2 3 4		a public officer shall not constitute a breach of the public trust. [Willful and knowing breach of duty or culpable indifference to official duties may constitute a breach of the public trust.]
5 6 7 8	H.	Within ten days of receiving the hearing officer's recommendations, the assembly shall vote on whether to remove the mayor. Removal shall occur only on the concurrence of two-thirds of the fully constituted body.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I.	The decision of the assembly acting upon the recommendations of the hearing officer may be appealed to the superior court within 30 days of the assembly's decision. If the assembly's decision is for removal, the office shall be considered vacant beginning at 12:01 a.m. seven days following the decision unless the appellate court issues a stay of the removal pending appeal. In evaluating whether to grant a stay of removal pending appeal, the fact that another individual may be seated as acting mayor shall not constitute irreparable harm. During a stay, unless otherwise ordered by the court the seat is considered vacant and shall be filled in accordance with Charter 7.02(c) pending the outcome of the court case. If, after exhaustion of appeals, the final ruling reverses the removal, the removed mayor shall be reseated for the remainder of the term for which the mayor was elected, and the acting mayor shall return to the person's prior position.
25 26 27	Section 4. hereby ame therefore not	Anchorage Municipal Code section 2.70.030, <i>Removal from office</i> , is nded as follows (<i>the remainder of the section is not affected and t set out</i>):
28 29	2.70.0	030 - Removal from office.
30 31 32 33		mber of the municipal assembly may be removed from office for breach public trust following the procedures set forth in this section:
34 35 36 37 38	A. ***	Willful and knowing breach of duty or culpable indifference to official duties may constitute a breach of the public trust. For the purposes of this section actions constituting a breach of the public trust shall include, but are not limited to:
 39 40 41 42 43 44 		 9. Official oppression; 10. Actual or attempted official misconduct, as defined by state law; <u>11.</u> Unexcused absence from three consecutive meetings; <u>12[11]</u>. Failure to attend 75 percent of meetings in a 24-month period; or
45 46		<u>13[12]</u> . <u>Substantial b[B]</u> reach of a statutory <u>-, Code-</u> or Charter-

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

imposed duty. Β. Proceedings for removal from office may only [SHALL] be initiated by delivery of an accusation document to the municipal clerk setting forth the grounds for removal and specifying if delivery is to the assembly or the board of ethics. An accusation document may be submitted to the municipal clerk only by a majority [TWO-THIRDS] vote of the assembly or [TWO-THIRDS MAJORITY] decision of the municipal board of ethics and must allege specific actions by the assembly member in question which breach the public trust. C. After a successful vote to submit it, the municipal clerk shall cause a copy of the accusation document to [MUST] be delivered by personal service to the member of the assembly who is the subject of the accusation document and a copy delivered to the municipal attorney. D. The municipal attorney shall review the accusation document for legal sufficiency. The municipal attorney shall determine the legal sufficiency of the allegations within ten days of receipt of the accusation document. If the municipal attorney determines that the allegations are legally insufficient, the removal action shall be discontinued. The municipal attorney's determination, if it rejects the accusation document, may be appealed to the superior court within 30 days. No interlocutory appeal is permitted from a determination by the municipal attorney that the accusation document is legally sufficient. Following a determination by the municipal attorney that the accusation document is legally sufficient, the municipality shall employ an attorney of the accused's choice, subject to the limitations of this subsection, to defend the charges. The attorney selected must be engaged in the active practice of law in the state. The fees charged by the attorney must be reasonable in both the rate and the amount of time expended. Reasonableness shall be evaluated in accordance with Alaska Bar Rule 35 and shall be subject to fee arbitration under the Alaska Bar Rules if the municipality disputes the reasonableness of the fees claimed. Ε. Within two weeks following the delivery of an accusation document,

E. Within two weeks following the delivery of an accusation document, the municipal clerk shall request that six names be submitted as potential hearing officers by the American Arbitration Association. Three of the names submitted should be from the state and three from out-of-state. From these names the assembly and the accused shall agree upon a hearing officer who shall conduct the hearing concerning the allegations in the accusation document. If [, OR, IF] no agreement is reached within ten days of distribution of the list of potential hearing officers, the hearing officer shall be selected by each side exercising preemptory challenges to the six potential names in turn until only one

1		remains [THE MUNICIPAL CLERK SHALL SELECT A HEARING
2		OFFICER FROM THE LIST WHO SHALL CONDUCT A HEARING
3		CONCERNING THE ACCUSATIONS CONTAINED IN THE
4		DOCUMENT FILED WITH THE MUNICIPAL CLERK AND SHALL
5		PROVIDE A RECOMMENDATION TO THE ASSEMBLY]. If more
6		than one assembly member is the subject of the accusation document
7		or the alleged breach arises out of the same event, the same hearing
8		officer shall hear those matters and may hold one consolidated
9		hearing.
10		noanny.
11	F.	A hearing conducted by the appointed hearing officer shall be held no
12	••	later than 30 days following appointment of the hearing officer. The
13		hearing shall be open to the public and, unless otherwise provided in
14		this section, shall be conducted in accordance with the procedures set
15		forth in chapter 3.60, however the hearing officer shall expedite the
16		matter within the required times set forth in this section and
17		chapter 3.60 and shall grant extensions only for good cause.
18		Good cause must be based upon matters either beyond the
19		control of the party making application or conditions which
20		would create a significant hardship if a continuance is not
21		granted. Within ten days following the conclusion of the public hearing
22		the hearing officer shall submit written findings and recommendations
23		to the assembly. The recommendations shall include whether the
24		officer should be removed.
25		
26	G.	The standard of proof of the allegations in the accusation document to
27		be applied by the hearing officer is proof by a preponderance of the
28		evidence. The hearing officer shall evaluate the evidence relating to
29		the accusations set forth in the accusation document and evaluate
30		both whether the allegations are supported and whether those actions
31		alleged constitute a breach of the public trust as set forth in subsection
32		A of this section. Wrongful acts or admissions occurring while the
33		officer was acting in a private capacity as opposed to his capacity as
34		a public officer shall not constitute a breach of the public trust.
35		[WILLFUL AND KNOWING BREACH OF DUTY OR CULPABLE
36		INDIFFERENCE TO OFFICIAL DUTIES MAY CONSTITUTE A
20		

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

I. The decision of the assembly acting upon the recommendations of the hearing officer may be appealed to the superior court within 30 days of the assembly's decision. If the assembly's decision is for removal, the office shall be considered vacant beginning at 12:01 a.m. seven days following the decision unless the appellate court issues a stay of the removal pending appeal. In evaluating whether to grant a stay of removal pending appeal the facts that the removed member could miss important votes and that another individual may be seated to

BREACH OF THE PUBLIC TRUST.]

1	replace the removed member shall not constitute irreparable harm.
2 3	During a stay, unless otherwise ordered by the court the seat is considered vacant and shall be filled in accordance with Charter
3 4	7.02(b) and section 2.70.020 pending the outcome of the court case.
5	If, after exhaustion of appeals, the final ruling reverses the removal,
6	the removed member shall be reseated for the remainder of the term
7	for which elected, and any replacement, whether appointed or elected
8	at a special election, shall be displaced.
9	
10	(AO No. 93-54(S-1), 5-5-93)
11	
12	Section 5. Anchorage Municipal Code section 29.10.060, <i>Removal of members</i>
13	from office, is hereby amended as follows (the remainder of the section is not
14	affected and therefore not set out):
15	
16	29.10.060 - Removal of members from office.
17	A member of the school board may be removed from office for breach of the
18 19	public trust following the procedures set forth in this section.
20	
21	A. Willful and knowing breach of duty or culpable indifference to
22	official duties may constitute a breach of the public trust. For the
23	purposes of this section, actions constituting a breach of the public
24	trust shall include, but are not limited to:
25	*** *** ***
26	9. Official oppression;
27	10. Actual or attempted official misconduct, as defined by
28	state law;
29	<u>11.</u> Unexcused absence from three consecutive meetings;
30 31	<u>12[11]</u> . Failure to attend 75 percent of meetings in a 24-month period;
32	or <u>13[12]</u> . <u>Substantial b</u> [B]reach of a statutory <u>-, Code-</u> or Charter-
33	imposed duty.
34	
35	B. Proceedings for removal from office may only [SHALL] be initiated
36	by delivery of an accusation document to the municipal clerk setting
37	forth the grounds for removal and specifying if delivery is to the school
38	board or the board of ethics. An accusation document may be
39	submitted to municipal clerk only by a <u>majority</u> [TWO-THIRDS] vote of
40	the school board or a [TWO-THIRDS MAJORITY] decision of the
41	municipal board of ethics and must allege specific actions by the
42	school board member in question which breach the public trust.
43	C. After a successful vote to submit it, the municipal clerk shall cause a
44 45	C. A <u>fter a successful vote to submit it, the municipal clerk shall cause a</u> copy of the accusation document <u>to</u> [MUST] be delivered by personal
43 46	service to the members of the school board who are the subjects of
70	
	I

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42 43 the accusation document and a copy delivered to the municipal attorney.

- D. The municipal attorney shall review the accusation document for legal sufficiency. The municipal attorney shall determine the legal sufficiency of the allegations within ten days of receipt of the accusation document. If the municipal attorney determines that the allegations are legally insufficient, the removal action shall be discontinued. The municipal attorney's determination, if it rejects the accusation document, may be appealed to the superior court within 30 days. No interlocutory appeal is permitted from a determination by the municipal attorney that the accusation document is legally sufficient. Following a determination by the municipal attorney that the accusation document is legally sufficient, the school board shall employ an attorney of the accused's choice, subject to the limitations of this subsection, to defend the charges. The attorney selected must be engaged in the active practice of law in the state. The fees charged by the attorney must be reasonable in both the rate and the amount of time expended. Reasonableness shall be evaluated in accordance with Alaska Bar Rule 35 and shall be subject to fee arbitration under the Alaska Bar Rules if the school district disputes the reasonableness of the fees claimed.
- E. Within two weeks following the service [DELIVERY] of an accusation document, the municipal clerk shall request six names be submitted as potential hearing officers by the American Arbitration Association. Three of the names submitted should be from the state and three from out of state. From these names the school board and the accused shall agree upon a hearing officer who shall conduct the hearing concerning the allegations in the accusation document. If [, OR, IF] no agreement is reached within ten days of distribution of the list of potential hearing officers, the hearing officer shall be selected by each side exercising preemptory challenges to the six potential names in turn until only one remains [THE MUNICIPAL CLERK SHALL SELECT A HEARING OFFICER FROM THE LIST, WHO SHALL CONDUCT A HEARING CONCERNING THE ACCUSATIONS CONTAINED IN THE DOCUMENT FILED WITH THE MUNICIPAL CLERK AND SHALL PROVIDE A RECOMMENDATION TO THE SCHOOL BOARD]. If more than one school board member is the subject of the accusation document or the alleged breach arises out of the same event, the same hearing officer shall hear those matters and may hold one consolidated hearing.
- F. A hearing conducted by an appointed hearing officer shall be held no
 later than 30 days following appointment of the hearing officer. The
 hearing shall be open to the public and, unless otherwise provided in

this section, shall be conducted in accordance with the procedures set forth in chapter 3.60, however the hearing officer shall expedite the matter within the required times set forth in this section and chapter 3.60 and shall grant extensions only for good cause. Good cause must be based upon matters either beyond the control of the party making application or conditions which would create a significant hardship if a continuance is not granted. Within ten days following the conclusion of the public hearing, the hearing officer shall submit written findings and recommendations to the school board. The recommendations shall include whether the official should be removed.

- G. The standard of proof of the allegations in the accusation document to be applied by the hearing officer is proof by a preponderance of the evidence. The hearing officer shall evaluate the evidence relating to the accusations set forth in the accusation document and evaluate both whether the allegations are supported and whether those actions alleged constitute a breach of the public trust. Wrongful acts or admissions occurring while the officer was acting in a private capacity as opposed to his capacity as a public officer shall not constitute a breach of the public trust as set forth in subsection A of this section. WILLFUL AND KNOWING BREACH OF DUTY OR CULPABLE INDIFFERENCE TO OFFICIAL DUTIES MAY CONSTITUTE A **BREACH OF THE PUBLIC TRUST.]** *** *** ***
- Ι. The decision of the school board acting upon the recommendations of the hearing officer may be appealed to the superior court within 30 days of the school board's decision. If the school board's decision is for removal, the office shall be considered vacant beginning at 12:01 a.m. seven days following the decision unless the appellate court issues a stay of the removal pending appeal. In evaluating whether to grant a stay of removal pending appeal the facts that the removed member could miss important votes or that another individual may be seated to replace the removed member shall not constitute irreparable harm. During a stay, unless otherwise ordered by the court the seat is considered vacant and shall be filled in accordance with Charter 7.02(a) pending the outcome of the court case. If, after exhaustion of appeals, the final ruling reverses the removal, the removed member shall be reseated for the remainder of the term for which elected, and any replacement, whether appointed or elected at a special election, shall be displaced.

(AO No. 93-54(S-1), 5-5-93)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41 42

43 44

45 **Section 6.** This ordinance shall be effective immediately upon passage and approval by the Assembly.

	1		
1			
2	PASSED AND APF	PROVED by the Anchorage Assembly this	day
3	of , 202	2.	
4			
5			
5			
6			
7		Chair	
8	ATTEST:		
9			
10			
11			
12	Municipal Clerk	-	
12			